

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMME United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PAPER NUMBER

APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |

10/633,609 | 07/31/2003 | Laurakay Bruhn | 10021296-1 | 5153 |

7590 | 06/14/2006 | EXAMINER |

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. | Lin, Jerry |

Legal Department DI 429

Legal Department, DL429
Intellectual Property Administration
P.O. Box 7599
Loveland, CO 80537-0599

1631 DATE MAILED: 06/14/2006

ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary					
		10/633,609	9	BRUHN ET AL.	
	omoo nodon odiniidiy	Examiner		Art Unit	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	Jerry Lin	anyar ahaat with the a	1631	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 March 2006.				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-63 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 36-48 and 58 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☒ Claim(s) 1-63 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary		
3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB r No(s)/Mail Date	/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	atent Application (PTC)-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-35, 49-57, and 59-63 in the reply filed on March 21, 2006 is acknowledged. Claims 36-48 and 58 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed toward an unelected group.

As was stated in the previous office action, the election of Group I also requires an election of the species. The species requirement follows.

Species Election

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species A, claims 12-24, drawn to signal data representative of binding of a sample component is acquired and saved from feature locations based on one or more retrieved sub-array patterns.

Species B, claims 25-33, 35 and 51-55, drawn to a same signal processing method is applied to acquired signal data representative of binding of a sample component from feature locations based on one or more retrieved sub-array patterns.

3. The species are independent or distinct because:

Species A and B are directed to related processes. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are

Art Unit: 1631

either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the Species are directed to different method steps conducted in the alternative as in claim 6. Since the steps are different and are conducted in the alternative, the species do not overlap in scope. Furthermore, since the steps are different, the species as claimed have different modes of operation.

Page 3

Subspecies Election

4. If Species A is elected, this species contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct subspecies:

Subspecies a, claim 14, drawn to the binding of a sample component being prevented.

Subspecies b, claims 15 and 16, drawn to having an excess of the label on a material.

Subspecies c, claims 17-19, drawn to feature locations having been damaged. Subspecies d, claims 20 and 21, drawn to the label having been damaged.

5. The subspecies are independent or distinct because:

Subspecies a-d are directed to related processes. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of

Art Unit: 1631

operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, each of the subspecies are drawn to different results that prevent the reading of a signal. Since the steps are different and are conducted in the alternative, the subspecies do not overlap in scope. Furthermore, since the steps are different, the subspecies as claimed have different modes of operation.

6. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species and subspecies for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, 1-10, 34, 49, 50, 56, 57, and 59-63 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species and subspecies that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species and subspecies. MPEP § 809.02(a).

7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of a species or subspecies may be made with or without traverse.

To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1631

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerry Lin whose telephone number is (571) 272-2561. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00am-6:30pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Wang, can be reached on (571) 272-0811. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service

Application/Control Number: 10/633,609

Art Unit: 1631

Page 7

center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center at (800) 786-9199.

MICHAEL BORIN, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

JL